

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/582,209 | 06/09/2006 | Rainer Brachert | 2003P01907WOUS | 3969 | | |
| 46726 BSH HOME A | 7590 03/30/201 APPLIANCES CORPO | EXAM | EXAMINER | | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562 | | | ROHRHOFT | ROHRHOFF, DANIEL J | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 3637 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | | 03/30/2010 | ELECTRONIC | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/582,209 | | BRACHERT, RAINER | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | DAN ROHRHOFF | 3637 | | |
| | | | | |

| | Distriction | 0007 | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on in application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) |). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(!) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date of have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of sext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sis set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latert may rectude any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of appeal. Since | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | ut prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 001100 | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further con | | | cause | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmen | t canceling the | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | /Janet M. Wilkens/ | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3637 | | | | | |
| | | 501 | | | | | |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The art rejections of the claims as set forth in the office action mailed on 12/16/2009 are still deemed proper. Applicants argument stating precise adjustability of the refrigeration device door (6) is not necessary, since the furniture panel (7) can be adjusted with respect to the door is not persuasive. Allowing the vertical position of the door (6) to be adjusted by the shims of Mansfeld allows for the door to be installed at the anticipated correct vertical position. After the refrigeration device is installed at its final destination the door would already be located at the anticipated correct vertical position and a final precise adjustment to the furniture panel could then be made. This modification allows for a wider tolerance range when aligning the furniture panel of the door with its surrounding environment.